UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,931	12/12/2003	Kevin Woehr	51668/THD/K163	2243
23363 7590 12/12/2007 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, PHILLIP A	
PASADENA,	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3767	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/734,931	WOEHR ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip Gray	3767
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Phillip Gray</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tom Dao</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>06 December 2007</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Kulli (US 4,929,241).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ t	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
supi M	KEVIN C. SIRMONS ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINI EUTH C. Jamma	ER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature in required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney kindly discussed the general nature of the invention "SPRING CLIP SAFETY IV CATHETER" and what they feel are their novel improvments over the prior art thereof. Further applicant's attorney discussed the claims and their scope as presently written. Specifically the language and claim limitations concerning the "cross" or "overlapping" first and second arms, and how the applicant feels his invention is novel or unique over the "Kulli" prior art of record. Examiner explained how, when given the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language, Kulli, and any obvious modifications of prior art, anticipated the claims as written. Examiner appreciated applicant's explainations concerning the amending the claim language to read that the arms "intersect". It was recommended that applicant amend the claims to greater define the aspects of the invention that they consider novel and reasons why this feature is not shown in the prior art and is nonobvious over the prior art of record (specifically this "intersecting" that the applicant's attorney discussed in his explainations of the applicant's inventions and the prior art of record. Therefore, currently the rejections stand as proper.

PAG